

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/916,969

Applicant(s)

CAMBRIDGE ET AL.

Examiner

Jeffrey D. Popham

Art Unit

2137

All Participants:(1) Jeffrey D. Popham.(2) Kevin Zilka.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 June 2005**Time:** 12:30**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:


Claims discussed:

Claim 1.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Discussed a supplemental action in which an examiner's amendment adding "computer-implemented" before "method" in claim 1, thus making the claim statutory.***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)